The Federal Communications Commission 445 12th Street, SW Washington, DC 20554

Dear The Federal Communications Commission,

(added by myself- Generally I support flat tax rates, but I feel in this case it seems to make more since for those who use the airways more to pay a higher tax--probally a percentage thing. I view it completely different than say an income tax. It is flat out unfare for the government to tax more at a higher percentage rate just because a person makes more. It's not as if the government works harder for the rich and therefore should get more money fo them. Admittedly, I am a bit more unaware of this new possible phone tax. All I ash is if you pray about it and use wisdom to make the right choice. Thanks--Kimberly Knotts)

Congratulations on your appointment to Chair the FCC. In your new leadership role, I urge you to support the "Fair Share Plan" as a solution to current concerns with the Universal Service Fund (USF). The Fair Share Plan will keep the USF fair, ensuring that consumers like me do not pay the same rate into the USF as big businesses, regardless of how little I may use long distance.

The Keep USF Fair Coalition submitted the Fair Share Plan to the FCC on January 31, 2005. It expands who pays into the USF so that other technologies - not just phones - pay into the system. The Fair Share Plan collects the USF using a combination numbers- and revenue-based plan. This keeps the system fair, equitable and non-discriminatory.

Under the flat fee or numbers-based plan you are considering, people like me who make few long distance calls would pay the same as people or businesses that make many calls. I believe it would be unfair to charge low-volume and residential customers the same fees as high-volume residential or business customers.

I urge you to keep the USF fair, and adopt the Fair Share Plan. Thank you, and best of luck in your new position.

(added by myself- Generally I support flat tax rates, but I feel in this case it seems to make more since for those who use the airways more to pay a higher tax--probally a percentage thing. I view it completely different than say an income tax. It is flat out unfare for the government to tax more at a higher percentage rate just because a person makes more. It's not as if the government works harder for the rich and therefore should get more money fo them. Admittedly, I am a bit more unaware of this new possible phone tax. All I ash is if you pray about it and use wisdom to make the right choice. Thanks--Kimberly Knotts) cc: Marlene Dortch, Secretary

kimberly knotts
rr 3 box 53
fairmont, West Virginia 26554